

Action Plan for the International Year of Rangelands and Pastoralists (IYRP): The Case for the United States, Canada, and Mexico

Barry Irving (Retired Director of Agricultural Research Stations, University of Alberta, Canada), Larry Howery (Professor and Extension Range Specialist, The University of Arizona, USA), Jürgen Hoth (Vice President Fundación Biósfera del Anáhuac, A.C., Mexico), Jess Peterson (Executive Vice President, Society for Range Management, USA)

Key words: United Nations; international year; United States; Canada; Mexico; rangelands; grasslands

Abstract

The GAP analysis (A Case of Benign Neglect: Knowledge gaps about sustainability in rangelands and pastoralism) points to several gaps that are relevant to the US, Canada and Mexico. North American rangelands span the ecological continuum of polar to hot deserts and arid to humid climates that exhibit highly variable ecological and forage production potential across time and space. Although there is a great deal of rangeland research, extension, and inventory capacity in all three countries, a weak link is the dissemination of information to North American pastoralists (conventionally referred to as ranchers or producers). Although the extension system in the US and Canada are similar, there are distinct differences. Public lands in the US are managed at the national level by federal agencies (e.g., Bureau of Land Management and Forest Service) while private land management assistance is provided by the Natural Resource Conservation Service. In Canada, Crown land is managed by departments within each province and there is no national extension service. In Mexico, the majority of the lands are managed by local communities or ejidos, 15% are privately owned and managed and the remaining 5% is government owned (federal, state and municipality). Communal properties are mainly within the states of Durango, Zacatecas, and San Luis Potosí. The three countries support national research organizations and have a well-developed system of colleges and universities that have range management or related disciplines containing staff that specialize in teaching and/or research (and cooperative extension at land grant universities within the US). All three countries must attempt to bridge gaps between an urban industrial society that is increasingly disconnected from extensive agricultural production on rangelands. Promoting ecological goods and services provided by rangelands is a relatively new paradigm for US, Canadian and Mexican research and extension. During the IYRP, the focus in the US, Canada and Mexico is likely to be in 2 directions; providing North American pastoralists/ranchers with the social license to continue to ranch or farm while educating the massive urban population about the sustainability, multiple uses, and benefits of ecological services produced on rangelands and native grasslands.

The United Nations Environment Programme Gap Analysis (Johnsen et al., 2019) that will act as a backbone for an International Year of Rangelands and Pastoralists (IYRP) contains a general description of global Gaps in information and resources required for the wise use and stewardship of global rangelands. The report concludes with recommendations for future actions that include:

1. Conduct an integrated global assessment of pastoralism/ranching and rangelands.
2. Enhance the availability and quality of existing information.
3. Broaden the understanding of pastoralism/ranching and value of rangelands.
4. Conduct a detailed assessment of provision of technical support to pastoralists/ranchers.
5. How best to involve pastoralists/ranchers in the integrated global assessment.

Prior to focusing on a GAP or group of GAPs it is important to understand the evolution of sustainability of North American rangelands and pastoralists/ranchers. Although there are several ways in which sustainability can be classified a conceptual discussion for the US, Canada and Mexico can be framed through the following sequence:

1. Production
2. Ecological
3. Social and Cultural (local community)
4. Societal (national and/or global)

Historically, production, ecological, and social and cultural facets of rangelands and pastoralists/ranchers have been sustained on a local scale. North America has developed in the last 500 years with a colonial philosophy

that resulted in dramatic change from a mosaic of basic subsistence and sophisticated surplus based Indigenous societies to an agricultural production-based society dominated by people of European origin. African Americans and Indigenous peoples also contributed significantly to the development of North American ranching despite widespread discrimination (Katz 2019). An expansionist colonial ideology and perceptions of open land with almost unlimited production capability led to violent removal and subjugation of Indigenous populations followed by an explosion in livestock numbers throughout the western states and provinces, as well as throughout Mexico. Due to unregulated open access to grazing resources, and investment of foreign capital that incentivized mismanagement, overstocking at the end of the 19th century resulted in overgrazing, loss of production and ecological capacity to such an extent that sustainability of a fledgling livestock industry (mostly cattle but also sheep) was uncertain (Specht 2019). The majority of the damage to rangelands during this time was in the more arid and semi-arid regions of the United States west of the 100th meridian and Northern Mexico. Canadian and northern US rangelands were also overstocked, but the damage was mitigated somewhat by severe winters (livestock numbers were controlled) that were not a factor at lower North American latitudes and by the relatively shorter time frame of exposure because European invasion and settlement occurred at a later date (as did livestock introduction and herd increase). Range management as a discipline originated in the early 1900's. The first goal of rangeland research was to reverse the downward trend in rangeland productivity and condition and return damaged rangelands to a suitable level of production by improving management of both rangeland and livestock. Early on, this was accomplished primarily through reduced (controlled) stocking rates. Stocking rate restrictions in western North America through legislative control probably occurred first in Canada. In 1881, through an Order in Council the Canadian government established a grazing lease system that was applied immediately to rangelands along the eastern slopes of the Rocky Mountains, in what is now southwestern Alberta. The lease system established the initial framework for leases throughout western Canada. Stocking rates, although high by present standards, were controlled by legislation and regulation. The Taylor Grazing Act provided the first permitted grazing leases and regulation of stocking rates in the United States in 1934, about the same time that control of natural resources was transferred from the Canadian federal government to the provinces (and grazing lease legislation as well) in 1930. The management of the majority of public land rangeland in the western US has continued to be administered at the federal level mostly through the Department of the Interior (Bureau of Land Management and National Park Service) and Department of Agriculture (Forest Service). Canadian rangelands continue to be administered at the Provincial level through a variety of departments. Although administered at different levels of government, current public rangeland management policies procedures, and practices are similar between Canada and the US. Stocking rate control and a grazing permit system administered by a government agency are strengths of North American regulatory systems. Length of lease tenure may be used in some cases to reward good stewardship and sustainable rangeland management that can work to the benefit of Canadian and US ranchers; it can also be used as an incentive, reward, or penalty (prior to cancelling a grazing disposition the length of tenure may be reduced or operating terms and conditions may be modified) as deemed appropriate. Large scale rangeland assessments carried out in the mid 60's in Northern Mexico (CFAN, 1965) reinforced growing concerns related to land degradation through overgrazing and overstocking. These drivers, operating also in South and SW USA, led to ecosystem level shifts from perennial grasslands to desert scrub since the mid 1800's. Despite Mexico's federal response of establishing carrying capacities, "índices de agostadero," the condition of grasslands has continued to decline.

Production stability of North American rangelands has improved slowly but steadily from the lows of the late 1800's. Although improvements in production sustainability resulted in concomitant improvements in ecological sustainability, research emphasis and extension into the pastoralist/ranching community from a more ecological viewpoint coincided with the founding of the Society for Range Management in 1948 (Sayre 2016). Research on the interaction of livestock grazing on watersheds and wild ungulate herbivores appeared in research journals in the 1950s, possibly coinciding with the period of economic growth and societal stability after World War II. The discipline of Range Management adapted from a focus on improvements in production and began to take on the second prong of its character, ecological sustainability. That trend continues today, with more difficult to measure ecological contributors to rangelands becoming drivers of adaptive management decisions over time. For example, a research focus early in this time was the interaction of grazing by livestock with large (visual and often economic) game ungulates such as elk, deer, and pronghorn, while a current focus is on rangeland pollinators and continental-scale rangeland health indicators. This includes bird censuses that track grassland birds since the 60s revealing their steep decline, the steepest loss of any other North American bird guild, an ominous sign for this ecosystem shared by our three countries. This worrisome trend has led to various binational and trinational multi-sectorial initiatives

(e.g., Gauthier et al., 2003; Guzmán et al., 2012), the latest being the Grasslands Roadmap

<www.grasslandsroadmap.org>

Past research and extension focus on reducing soil erosion has been augmented with current interests in the influence of soil microbiology on rangeland productivity and sustainability. Rangeland ecological research and extension have followed a scale trend from large, visible, and largely economic to small, difficult to measure and quantify, and in some cases where an obvious economic linkage has yet to be shown.

Throughout both the development of rangeland management and the use of knowledge by the North American ranching community there has also been an evolution in local social and cultural networks (local community). Early on, many Indigenous communities incorporated European-origin livestock into their cultures and economies (Iverson 1994) while Hispano communities maintained distinct cultural, land and livestock management traditions in the southwest (Peña 1999). African Americans brought livestock knowledge from Africa, helped establish ranching in the southeast (Sluyter 2012), and later founded numerous communities on the Great Plains, though many did not survive the Depression, Dust Bowl, and discrimination (Katz 2019). Euro-American family ranching evolved in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, displacing existing Indigenous communities. Community pillars that were once dominated by ranch families and staff (churches, community leagues, equipment dealerships, schools, eateries, etc.) have in some cases ceased to exist or amalgamated or assimilated into larger but farther apart entities. As North America has developed the influence of agriculture in general and ranching in particular has declined. During the last century, urban populations have increased at a rapid rate, and even if rural populations have remained stable, which few have, the population has shifted to become urban, urbanized, and/or industrialized. While developing countries may be dependent on an agricultural economy (perhaps 40% of a developing country's GDP might be derived from agriculture), agriculture in both Canada and the United States would struggle to be greater than 3% of the national economies, while this sector represents slightly over 6% of Mexico's GDP. Change, and the need to adapt to change by North American ranchers, including the aging and de-population of rural areas, has led to the development of the social science and environmental side of range management by most western and Mexican agriculture-related universities as part of their academic core. That was not the case at the dawn of the discipline when producing and selling maximum pounds of meat from rangelands was often a primary goal.

Despite a wealth of knowledge generated by the North American rangeland scientific community (as evidenced by Derner and Augustine, 2016 and Gauthier et al., 2003) and extended to the ranchers through various agencies, associations, and NGO's at national to local levels, rangelands and ranchers are suffering from a wide variety of challenges. Invasive (non-native) plants and animals, industrial disturbance, fragmentation, cultivation for annual crops and urban creep are a few examples of current problems that will affect rangeland productivity and ecological balance into the future. Complex interactions between all these factors and the short and long-term trajectories of climate change will require a continued and continual research and extension focus throughout US, Canada and Mexico's rangelands. Despite the issues varying by region, climate change will be a central theme driving research and extension.

An area of relatively recent focus with respect to the ranching community is carbon sequestration. Throughout most of the settlement history in North America individual rangeland managers have mostly been concerned with challenges that are relatively local in extent and they adapted their operations to those local conditions based on local and traditional knowledge, applicable research and extension. Climate change and in particular the production of greenhouse gases (mainly through ruminant fermentation for rangeland livestock) and the potential to mitigate challenges in the atmospheric carbon cycle through carbon sequestration (or maintenance) in rangeland soils and vegetation has burst into prominence. North American society is currently engaged in an active discussion that is pushing towards a choice between a demonstrable sustainable land use practice (grazing livestock on rangeland) and a new global paradigm that livestock grazing in general has negative effects on the earth's carbon cycle and the earth's climate.

This brings the discussion to the 4th level of sustainability; national and/or global societal impacts on pastoralists/ranchers from Canada, the US, and Mexico and to what will likely be a focus in preparations and outcomes for the IYRP. Although the North American system of rangeland research, extension, and advanced education has produced tangible effects on the sustainability of rangelands and pastoralists, there are cracks in the foundation. Cuts in funding at all levels has been a common practice in the three countries. Former Departments of Range Science in universities have amalgamated with Environment or Agriculture as they cannot stand alone in the current funding models. Range research stations have closed or been downsized, and extension agencies have been reduced, eliminated, or absorbed. An extreme example of this has played out in Canada in the last 10 years. The Prairie Farm Rehabilitation Administration, the Canadian equivalent of the

Natural Resource Conservation Service (the US extension agency for private land management), was closed in 2012 after a series of cuts and absorption the previous 5 years. The Alberta provincial government completed a 20-year reduction in agriculture extension (includes rangeland extension) in 2020 with an elimination of almost all provincial extension staff. Extension in Alberta is now exclusively handled at the County or Research Association (partially funded by the province) level, or directly through university or college staff. Although there are also cuts and vacancies in research, management, and extension positions in the US, the degree of change from the past appears to be thus far less than in Canada.

Reduced resources for range management throughout North America is happening at the same time when global concerns about the environment and the effect of agriculture on the environment are rapidly increasing. Public interest in ecological goods and services (EGS) and especially carbon emissions, sequestration, and atmospheric cycles is increasing. North American ranchers face a new and powerful detractor or opportunity, one that is taking the discussion directly to the urban consumer. Ranchers and farmers produce far more meat products than they consume; they are net exporters of food, mostly to urban areas within the continent. Although the US does export a small portion of its domestic beef production, it imports about the same amount mostly from Canada and Mexico (CEC, 2015). Market availability to ranchers is where past similarities between Canada and the US are likely to diverge, mostly because of the difference between populations (the US has about 10x the population that Canada has) and the size of the livestock industry in each country. Cattle population in western Canada (where most of the rangeland raised cattle herd resides) is much larger than the demand for beef, meaning western Canada is export driven in terms of markets for beef; beef exports hover around 50% of production. All North American ranchers are subject to a marketplace that is mostly urban, with areas of low local human population but a large rangeland area (and therefore large red meat production) being most susceptible to a changing marketplace.

To varying degrees future research and extension directions will likely be largely driven by EGS. Of course, red meat production is an EGS and has a long history of sustainability and an equally long history of research, teaching (university and college level), and extension. But carbon, and to a lesser extent other EGS, are driving current narratives for the importance of rangeland sustainability. The knowledge system on rangelands will likely be driven by ranchers (as in the past) but increasingly to respond to or challenge information being spread to the urban majority, which is where the consumer of economic EGS (red meat) from rangelands resides. It is a challenge for North American ranchers because they are engaged in a media scrum with a subset of the urban side of the population, the same demographic that is their primary marketplace. A news headline originating within the urban environmental movement that points to the destruction of ecosystems that is eminent due to cattle ranching is met with a response from the livestock industry indicating how essential their industry is to rangeland stability, carbon sequestration, control of unwanted wildfires and invasive plants, ecosystem continuity and countless other EGS (Our Lands. <https://vimeo.com/user103220926>. USDA-NRCS, NatGLC. Video accessed January 26, 2021). Hence, there is an opportunity for ranchers and pastoralists to promote the inherent value of proper rangeland management for sustainable livestock production in balance with multiple EGS. This will and has led to yet another term common in US and Canadian media: social license to operate. Social license to operate for the North American rancher is not a legal entity; it bears little similarity to legal rules of rangeland use such as grazing dispositions on public rangeland or rigid standards put on the meat production chain. Social license is determined by the consumer and their willingness to purchase products. The consumer can and does choose alternate products based on their perceptions of the environmental sustainability of that products production process. Currently in North America there are numerous rangeland ranchers already engaged in capturing market share from an informed consumer base. The challenge for the informed consumer base is acquiring its information from a variety of sources, not all of which follow scientific rigor in developing conclusions. A common example is the proliferation of organic, ethically raised, regenerative agriculture (term implies that anything not claiming this label is suddenly ecologically degenerative), and a myriad of other terms used to market to a niche portion of the consumer population. Recently, carbon sequestration has been a major topic in the social license to operate debate. Claims of healthier food resulting from organic production methods have not been conclusively proven in scientific literature (Novella 2016), proof of claims of regenerative agriculture resulting in 4-5 fold increases in soil organic matter (carbon sequestration) have been elusive (Ghosh and Mahanta 2014), and the advantages of grass-fed beef (higher polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and omega-3 fatty acids) are not enough to result

in significant improvements in human health (Novella 2016). It appears that North America is at a time and place where extension and science are not always aligned. Although marketing claims may be proven by future research many current claims that are presented to and absorbed by the consuming public are ambiguous from a scientific standpoint.

Conclusion

There has been a long history of scientific study, extension, and instruction in sustainability of North American rangelands and pastoralists/ranchers. Rangeland Management as a science developed from a time of drastic overuse of rangelands and degradation in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. North America has moved through eras where production from rangelands, ecological stability of rangelands, and maintenance of ranchers social and cultural lifeways have been major topics of interest for research, extension, and education. The current situation is one where an interesting dichotomy exists between past pillars of sustainability and urban consumers which in the end may negatively impact the sustainability of ranchers and farmers. A reasonable conclusion might be that when marketing becomes a dominant avenue in rangeland extension an increase of scientific studies might hopefully become common place. The future, and a major general topic for an International Year of Rangelands and Pastoralists from a North America standpoint might be a catchup phase, where science and extension will either enforce, support, or deny current trends evident in marketing of goods and services from rangelands. The future will likely involve integrating and balancing the nexus of extension and science to support pastoralists'/rancher's science-based social license to sustainably provide economic and ecological goods and services on the largest land type (rangelands) in the world.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the contributions and constructive edits of Maria Fernandez-Gimenez (Colorado State University), Anne Gondor (University of Arizona) and Elana Dosamantes (University of Arizona).

References

- CEC, 2015. North American Ranching Industries, Beef Cattle Trade, and Grasslands: Status and Trends. North American Commission for Environmental Cooperation (CEC), Montreal, Canada.
<http://www3.cec.org/islandora/es/item/11634-north-american-ranching-industries-beef-cattle-trade-and-grasslands-status-and-en.pdf>
- CFAN-CID, 1965. Estudio integral preliminar de la ganadería de la zona norte de la República Mexicana. Comité de Fomento Agropecuario del Norte, 1964 – 65, Centro de Investigación del Desarrollo. Tomo IV. Inventario de Recursos Ganaderos en el Norte de México. COPARMEX. México.
- Derner, J.D. and D. J. Augustine, 2016. Adaptive management for drought on rangelands. *Rangelands* 211-215.
- Gauthier D., A. Lafón, T. Toombs, J. Hoth and E Wiken, 2003. *Grasslands: Towards a North American Conservation Strategy*. University of Regina & Commission for Environmental Cooperation, Montreal. 99 pp. <http://www3.cec.org/islandora/en/item/1964-grasslands-toward-north-american-conservation-strategy-fr.pdf>
- Ghosh, P,K, and S.K. Mahanta, 2014. Carbon sequestration in grassland systems. *Range Management and Agroforestry* 35(2):173-181.
- Guzmán, J.C., J. Hoth and H. Berlanga (Eds.), 2012. Master Plan of the Regional Alliance for the Conservation of the Chihuahuan Desert Grasslands. North American Commission for Environmental Cooperation. Mexico. https://www.birdconservancy.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/Master_Plan_Chihuahua_jan2012.pdf
- Iverson, P., 1994. When Indians became Cowboys: Native Peoples and Cattle Ranching in the American West. Norman, OK: University of Oklahoma Press.
- Johnsen, K.I., M. Niamir-Fuller, A. Bensada, and A. Waters-Bayer, 2019. *A case of benign neglect: Knowledge gaps about sustainability in pastoralism and rangelands*. United Nations Environment Programme and GRID-Arendal, Nairobi and Arendal, www.grida.no <https://www.unenvironment.org/resources/report/case-benign-neglect-knowledge-gaps-about-sustainability-pastoralism-and-rangelands>

Katz, W.K., 2019 *The Black West*. Golden, CO: Fulcrum Publishing.

Novella, S., 2016. Organic vs Conventional Meat and Milk. Society for Science Based Medicine, February 17, 2016.

Peña, D. (ed), 1999. *Chicano Culture, Ecology and Politics: Subversive Kin*. Tucson: University of Arizona Press.

Rosenberg, K. V., A. M. Dokter, P. Blancher, J., Sauer, J. R., A. Smith, Smith, ... & P. Marra, 2019. Decline of the North American avifauna. *Science*, 366(6461), 120-124.
<https://science.sciencemag.org/content/366/6461/120>

Sayre, N., 2017. *The Politics of Scale: A History of Range Science*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Sluyter, A., 2012. *Black Ranching Frontiers: African Cattle Herders in the Atlantic World 1500-1900*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Specht, J., 2019. *Red Meat Republic: A Hoof-to-Table History of How Beef Changed America*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.